Elementary Number Theory Part 4 (Supplementary) Modular Exponentiation (Supplementary)

ΜZΙ

School of Computing Telkom University

SoC Tel-U

June 2023

MZI (SoC Tel-U)

Number Theory Part 4

≣ ▶ ≣ ∽ ⊂ June 2023 1 / 26

Acknowledgements

This slide is composed based on the following materials:

- Discrete Mathematics and Its Applications, 8th Edition, 2019, by K. H. Rosen (main).
- **O** Discrete Mathematics with Applications, 5th Edition, 2018, by S. S. Epp.
- Mathematics for Computer Science. MIT, 2010, by E. Lehman, F. T. Leighton, A. R. Meyer.
- Slide for Matematika Diskret 2 (2012). Fasilkom UI, by B. H. Widjaja.
- Slide for Matematika Diskret 2 at Fasilkom UI by Team of Lecturers.
- Slide for Matematika Diskret. Telkom University, by B. Purnama.

Some of the pictures are taken from the above resources. This slide is intended for academic purpose at FIF Telkom University. If you have any suggestions/comments/questions related to the material on this slide, send an email to <pleasedontspam>@telkomuniversity.ac.id.

<ロ> <用> <用> < => < => < => < => <000</p>

Contents



- 2 First Problem Solving Approach
- Second Problem Solving Approach
- Third Problem Solving Approach
- 5 Finding Inverse Using Modular Exponentiation

Contents



- 2 First Problem Solving Approach
- 3 Second Problem Solving Approach
- 4 Third Problem Solving Approach
- 5 Finding Inverse Using Modular Exponentiation

< ロ ト < 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト</p>

Modular Exponentiation Problem

• In cryptography or other subfields of computer science, we often encounter the calculation $b^n \mod m$ where b, m, and n are large positive integers.

Modular Exponentiation Problem

- In cryptography or other subfields of computer science, we often encounter the calculation $b^n \mod m$ where b, m, and n are large positive integers.
- Obviously it is impractical if we calculate the value of b^n first, and then find the remainder of the division of b^n by m.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

- In cryptography or other subfields of computer science, we often encounter the calculation bⁿ mod m where b, m, and n are large positive integers.
- Obviously it is impractical if we calculate the value of b^n first, and then find the remainder of the division of b^n by m.
- $\bullet\,$ For example, the calculation of $3^{11}\,\mathrm{mod}\,5$ is inefficient if it is performed as follows

 $3^{11} \mod 5 = 177 \, 147 \mod 5 = 2.$

- Another challenge is the calculation of $1945^{2020} \mod 2045$. Such calculation requires enormous memory (storage) if we must obtain the value of 1945^{2020} first, and then find its remainder when it is divided by 2045.
- In this slide we restrict our attention to the calculation of $b^n \mod m$ where $b,m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$

<ロト 4 回 ト 4 三 ト 4 三 ト 三 三 つのの</p>

Contents



2 First Problem Solving Approach

Second Problem Solving Approach

4 Third Problem Solving Approach

5 Finding Inverse Using Modular Exponentiation

< ロ ト < 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト</p>

 $\bullet\,$ We can compute $b^n \, \mathrm{mod} \, m$ using the property

 $(a \cdot b) \mod m = ((a \mod m) \cdot (b \mod m)) \mod m.$

 \bullet As a consequence, for $n\geq 1,$ we have

 $b^n \mod m = (b \cdot b^{n-1}) \mod m$

<ロト < 同ト < 目ト < 目ト < 目ト = 三 の < 0</p>

 $\bullet\,$ We can compute $b^n \, \mathrm{mod} \, m$ using the property

 $(a \cdot b) \mod m = ((a \mod m) \cdot (b \mod m)) \mod m.$

 \bullet As a consequence, for $n\geq 1,$ we have

$$b^{n} \operatorname{mod} m = (b \cdot b^{n-1}) \operatorname{mod} m$$
$$= ((b \operatorname{mod} m) \cdot (b^{n-1} \operatorname{mod} m)) \operatorname{mod} m.$$

- $\bullet\,$ Here, we see that the calculation of $b^n \, {\rm mod} \, m$ can be reduced to the calculation of $b^{n-1} \, {\rm mod} \, m.$
- In general, we have

 $\bullet\,$ We can compute $b^n \, \mathrm{mod} \, m$ using the property

 $(a \cdot b) \mod m = ((a \mod m) \cdot (b \mod m)) \mod m.$

 \bullet As a consequence, for $n\geq 1,$ we have

$$b^{n} \operatorname{mod} m = (b \cdot b^{n-1}) \operatorname{mod} m$$
$$= ((b \operatorname{mod} m) \cdot (b^{n-1} \operatorname{mod} m)) \operatorname{mod} m.$$

- $\bullet\,$ Here, we see that the calculation of $b^n \, {\rm mod} \, m$ can be reduced to the calculation of $b^{n-1} \, {\rm mod} \, m.$
- In general, we have

$$b^{n} \operatorname{mod} m = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0\\ b \operatorname{mod} m & n = 1\\ (b \operatorname{mod} m \cdot b^{n-1} \operatorname{mod} m) \operatorname{mod} m & n \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

First Approach - Recursive Version 1

The following algorithm is written in Python-3-like syntax. The procedure modexplrec1(b, n, m) computes $b^n \mod m$.

Firs	t Recursive Version of Modular Exponentiation (1st Approach)
0	<pre>def modexp1rec1(b, n, m):</pre>
2	if $n = 0$:
3	return 1
4	else if $n == 1$:
6	$\texttt{return} \ b \mod m$
6	else if $n > 1$:
0	return ($b \mod m \cdot \texttt{modexplrecl}(b, n-1, m)$) $\mod m$

This version is inefficient because its recursive calculation requires a lot of stack.

First Approach - Recursive Version 2

The following algorithm is written in Python-3-like syntax. In this version accumulator is an auxiliary variable for storing the recursive calculation. The procedure modexplrec2(b, n, m) computes $b^n \mod m$.

Second	Recursive Version of Modular Exponentiation (1st Approach)
🚺 def	<pre>modexptail(b, n, accumulator, m):</pre>
2	if $n == 0$: return $accumulator \mod m$
6	else:
	$\texttt{return modexptail}(b,n-1,(b \cdot accumulator) \texttt{mod} m,m)$
4 def	modexplrec2(b, n, m): return $modexptail(b, n, 1, m)$

This version is slightly more efficient than the previous one because it uses *accumulator* for storing the intermediate result of recursive calculation.

First Approach - Iterative Version

The following algorithm is written in Python-3-like syntax. This version is more efficient than two previous versions. The procedure modexpliter(b, n, m) computes $b^n \mod m$.

Iterative Version of Modular Exponentiation (1st Approach)

```
• def modexpliter(b, n, m):
2
       if n == 0:
8
           return 1
4
       else:
6
           result = b; exponent = 1
6
       while (exponent < n):
0
           result = (result \cdot b) \mod m
8
           exponent += 1
9
       return result
```

This version is more efficient than two previous version, but still takes too much time to compute $1945^{20202020} \mod 2045$.

MZI (SoC Tel-U)

Contents

1 Modular Exponentiation Problem

2 First Problem Solving Approach

Second Problem Solving Approach

4 Third Problem Solving Approach

5 Finding Inverse Using Modular Exponentiation

Second Problem Solving Approach

We can find $b^n \mod m$ efficiently using following steps.

3

999

<ロ> <四> <四> <三</p>

We can find $b^n \mod m$ efficiently using following steps.

First, write n in its binary representation, let's say the binary representation of n is (a_{k-1}a_{k-2}...a₁a₀)₂. Observe that

$$n = a_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-1} + a_{k-2} \cdot 2^{k-2} + \dots + a_1 \cdot 2 + a_0.$$

As a consequence, we have

 $b^n =$

We can find $b^n \mod m$ efficiently using following steps.

• First, write n in its binary representation, let's say the binary representation of n is $(a_{k-1}a_{k-2} \dots a_1a_0)_2$. Observe that

$$n = a_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-1} + a_{k-2} \cdot 2^{k-2} + \dots + a_1 \cdot 2 + a_0.$$

As a consequence, we have

$$b^n = b^{a_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-1} + a_{k-2} \cdot 2^{k-2} + \dots + a_1 \cdot 2 + a_0}$$

We can find $b^n \mod m$ efficiently using following steps.

• First, write n in its binary representation, let's say the binary representation of n is $(a_{k-1}a_{k-2} \dots a_1a_0)_2$. Observe that

$$n = a_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-1} + a_{k-2} \cdot 2^{k-2} + \dots + a_1 \cdot 2 + a_0.$$

As a consequence, we have

$$b^{n} = b^{a_{k-1}\cdot 2^{k-1}} + a_{k-2}\cdot 2^{k-2} + \dots + a_{1}\cdot 2 + a_{0}$$

= $b^{a_{k-1}\cdot 2^{k-1}} \cdot b^{a_{k-2}\cdot 2^{k-2}} \cdot \dots \cdot b^{a_{1}\cdot 2} \cdot b^{a_{0}}.$

We can find $b^n \mod m$ efficiently using following steps.

• First, write n in its binary representation, let's say the binary representation of n is $(a_{k-1}a_{k-2} \dots a_1a_0)_2$. Observe that

$$n = a_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-1} + a_{k-2} \cdot 2^{k-2} + \dots + a_1 \cdot 2 + a_0.$$

As a consequence, we have

$$b^{n} = b^{a_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-1} + a_{k-2} \cdot 2^{k-2} + \dots + a_{1} \cdot 2 + a_{0}}$$

= $b^{a_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-1}} \cdot b^{a_{k-2} \cdot 2^{k-2}} \cdot \dots \cdot b^{a_{1} \cdot 2} \cdot b^{a_{0}}.$

Since the value of $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-2}, a_{k-1}$ are either 0 or 1, then it is sufficient to compute the following values

$$b, b^2, b^{2^2}, \ldots, b^{2^{k-2}}, b^{2^{k-1}}.$$

<ロ> <用> <用> < => < => < => < => <000</p>

If we compute $3^{11} \mod 5$, first observe that $11 = (1011)_2$, thus

 $3^{11} =$

If we compute $3^{11} \mod 5$, first observe that $11 = (1011)_2$, thus

$$\begin{array}{rll} 3^{11} & = & 3^{2^3+2^1+2^0} = 3^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 3 \text{, so} \\ 3^{11} \operatorname{mod} 5 & = & \end{array}$$

3

If we compute $3^{11} \mod 5$, first observe that $11 = (1011)_2$, thus

$$3^{11} = 3^{2^3+2^1+2^0} = 3^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 3$$
, so
 $3^{11} \mod 5 = (3^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 3) \mod 5$

Since $(ab) \mod m = ((a \mod m) (b \mod m)) \mod m$, then we have

 $3^2 \mod 5 =$

A D > A D > A D > A D >

If we compute $3^{11} \mod 5$, first observe that $11 = (1011)_2$, thus

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 3^{11} & = & 3^{2^3+2^1+2^0} = 3^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 3, \text{ so} \\ 3^{11} \mod 5 & = & \left(3^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 3\right) \mod 5 \end{array}$$

Since $(ab) \mod m = ((a \mod m) (b \mod m)) \mod m$, then we have

 $3^2 \mod 5 = 9 \mod 5 = 4$ $3^4 \mod 5 =$

<ロト < 同ト < 目ト < 目ト < 目ト = 三 の < 0</p>

If we compute $3^{11} \mod 5$, first observe that $11 = (1011)_2$, thus

$$3^{11} = 3^{2^3+2^1+2^0} = 3^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 3$$
, so
 $3^{11} \mod 5 = (3^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 3) \mod 5$

Since $(ab) \mod m = ((a \mod m) (b \mod m)) \mod m$, then we have

 $\begin{array}{rcl} 3^2 \bmod 5 &=& 9 \bmod 5 = 4 \\ 3^4 \bmod 5 &=& \left(3^2 \cdot 3^2\right) \bmod 5 = (9 \cdot 9) \bmod 5 = (4 \cdot 4) \bmod 5 = 1 \\ 3^8 \bmod 5 &=& \end{array}$

<ロト < 同ト < 目ト < 目ト < 目ト = 三 の < 0</p>

If we compute $3^{11} \mod 5$, first observe that $11 = (1011)_2$, thus

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 3^{11} & = & 3^{2^3+2^1+2^0} = 3^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 3 \text{, so} \\ 3^{11} \mod 5 & = & \left(3^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 3\right) \mod 5 \end{array}$$

Since $(ab) \mod m = ((a \mod m) (b \mod m)) \mod m$, then we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 3^2 \bmod 5 &=& 9 \bmod 5 = 4 \\ 3^4 \bmod 5 &=& \left(3^2 \cdot 3^2 \right) \bmod 5 = (9 \cdot 9) \bmod 5 = (4 \cdot 4) \bmod 5 = 1 \\ 3^8 \bmod 5 &=& \left(3^4 \cdot 3^4 \right) \bmod 5 = (1 \cdot 1) \bmod 5 = 1. \end{array}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$3^{11} \operatorname{mod} 5 =$$

3

A D > A D > A D > A D >

If we compute $3^{11} \mod 5$, first observe that $11 = (1011)_2$, thus

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 3^{11} & = & 3^{2^3+2^1+2^0} = 3^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 3 \text{, so} \\ 3^{11} \mod 5 & = & \left(3^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 3\right) \mod 5 \end{array}$$

Since $(ab) \mod m = ((a \mod m) (b \mod m)) \mod m$, then we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 3^2 \bmod 5 &=& 9 \bmod 5 = 4 \\ 3^4 \bmod 5 &=& \left(3^2 \cdot 3^2 \right) \bmod 5 = (9 \cdot 9) \bmod 5 = (4 \cdot 4) \bmod 5 = 1 \\ 3^8 \bmod 5 &=& \left(3^4 \cdot 3^4 \right) \bmod 5 = (1 \cdot 1) \bmod 5 = 1. \end{array}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$3^{11} \mod 5 = (3^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 3) \mod 5 = (1 \cdot 4 \cdot 3) \mod 5 = 2.$$

3

A D > A D > A D > A D >

Algorithm for Second Problem Solving Approach

Modular Exponentiation Using Binary Representation modexp2(b, n, m) (where $b, n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $n = (a_{k-1}a_{k-1} \dots a_1a_0)_2$) x := 1 $power := b \mod m$ for i := 0 to k - 1 $if a_i = 1$ then $x := (x \cdot power) \mod m$

$$power := (power^2) \mod m$$

) return x

To compute $3^{644} \mod 645$, we first observe that $644 = (1010000100)_2$. Initially, we have x = 1, $power = 3 \mod 645 = 3$. For brevity, we write power as pow. The iterations are performed as follows:

 $i = 0 \mid a_0 = 0 \mid x = 1$ $\mid pow = 3^2 \mod 645 = 9$

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

To compute $3^{644} \mod 645$, we first observe that $644 = (1010000100)_2$. Initially, we have x = 1, $power = 3 \mod 645 = 3$. For brevity, we write power as pow. The iterations are performed as follows:

i = 0	$a_0 = 0$	x = 1	$pow = 3^2 \mod 645 = 9$
i = 1	$a_1 = 0$	x = 1	$pow = 9^2 \mod 645 = 81$

To compute $3^{644} \mod 645$, we first observe that $644 = (1010000100)_2$. Initially, we have x = 1, $power = 3 \mod 645 = 3$. For brevity, we write power as pow. The iterations are performed as follows:

To compute $3^{644} \mod 645$, we first observe that $644 = (1010000100)_2$. Initially, we have x = 1, $power = 3 \mod 645 = 3$. For brevity, we write power as pow. The iterations are performed as follows:

i = 0	$a_0 = 0$	x = 1
i = 1	$a_1 = 0$	x = 1
i = 2	$a_2 = 1$	$x = (1 \cdot 81) \mod 645 = 81$
i = 3	$a_3 = 0$	x = 81

$pow = 3^2 \operatorname{mod} 645 = 9$
$pow = 9^2 \mod 645 = 81$
$pow = 81^2 \mod 645 = 111$
$pow = 111^2 \mod 645 = 66$

To compute $3^{644} \mod 645$, we first observe that $644 = (1010000100)_2$. Initially, we have x = 1, $power = 3 \mod 645 = 3$. For brevity, we write power as pow. The iterations are performed as follows:

i = 0	$a_0 = 0$	x = 1
i = 1	$a_1 = 0$	x = 1
i = 2	$a_2 = 1$	$x = (1 \cdot 81) \mod 645 = 81$
i = 3	$a_3 = 0$	x = 81
i = 4	$a_4 = 0$	x = 81

$pow = 3^2 \operatorname{mod} 645 = 9$
$pow = 9^2 \mod 645 = 81$
$pow = 81^2 \mod 645 = 111$
$pow = 111^2 \mod 645 = 66$
$pow = 66^2 \mod 645 = 486$

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

To compute $3^{644} \mod 645$, we first observe that $644 = (1010000100)_2$. Initially, we have x = 1, $power = 3 \mod 645 = 3$. For brevity, we write power as pow. The iterations are performed as follows:

i = 0	$a_0 = 0$	x = 1
i = 1	$a_1 = 0$	x = 1
i = 2	$a_2 = 1$	$x = (1 \cdot 81) \mod 645 = 81$
i = 3	$a_3 = 0$	x = 81
i = 4	$a_4 = 0$	x = 81
i = 5	$a_{5} = 0$	x = 81

$pow = 3^2 \operatorname{mod} 645 = 9$
$pow = 9^2 \mod 645 = 81$
$pow = 81^2 \mod 645 = 111$
$pow = 111^2 \mod 645 = 66$
$pow = 66^2 \mod 645 = 486$
$pow = 486^2 \mod 645 = 126$

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

To compute $3^{644} \mod 645$, we first observe that $644 = (1010000100)_2$. Initially, we have x = 1, $power = 3 \mod 645 = 3$. For brevity, we write power as pow. The iterations are performed as follows:

i = 0	$a_0 = 0$	x = 1
i = 1	$a_1 = 0$	x = 1
i = 2	$a_2 = 1$	$x = (1 \cdot 81) \mod 645 = 81$
i = 3	$a_3 = 0$	x = 81
i = 4	$a_4 = 0$	x = 81
i = 5	$a_{5} = 0$	x = 81
i = 6	$a_{6} = 0$	x = 81

$pow = 3^2 \operatorname{mod} 645 = 9$
$pow = 9^2 \mod 645 = 81$
$pow = 81^2 \mod 645 = 111$
$pow = 111^2 \mod 645 = 66$
$pow = 66^2 \mod 645 = 486$
$pow = 486^2 \mod 645 = 126$
$pow = 126^2 \mod 645 = 396$

To compute $3^{644} \mod 645$, we first observe that $644 = (1010000100)_2$. Initially, we have x = 1, $power = 3 \mod 645 = 3$. For brevity, we write power as pow. The iterations are performed as follows:

i = 0	$a_0 = 0$	x = 1	$pow = 3^2 \mod 645 = 9$
i = 1	$a_1 = 0$	x = 1	$pow = 9^2 \mod 645 = 81$
i = 2	$a_2 = 1$	$x = (1 \cdot 81) \mod 645 = 81$	$pow = 81^2 \mod 645 = 111$
i = 3	$a_3 = 0$	x = 81	$pow = 111^2 \mod 645 = 66$
i = 4	$a_4 = 0$	x = 81	$pow = 66^2 \mod 645 = 486$
i = 5	$a_5 = 0$	x = 81	$pow = 486^2 \mod 645 = 126$
i = 6	$a_6 = 0$	x = 81	$pow = 126^2 \mod 645 = 396$
i = 7	$a_7 = 1$	$x = (81 \cdot 396) \mod 645 = 471$	$pow = 396^2 \mod 645 = 81$

To compute $3^{644} \mod 645$, we first observe that $644 = (1010000100)_2$. Initially, we have x = 1, $power = 3 \mod 645 = 3$. For brevity, we write power as pow. The iterations are performed as follows:

i = 0	$a_0 = 0$	x = 1	$pow = 3^2 \mod 645 = 9$
i = 1	$a_1 = 0$	x = 1	$pow = 9^2 \mod 645 = 81$
i=2	$a_2 = 1$	$x = (1 \cdot 81) \mod 645 = 81$	$pow = 81^2 \mod 645 = 111$
i = 3	$a_3 = 0$	x = 81	$pow = 111^2 \mod 645 = 66$
i = 4	$a_4 = 0$	x = 81	$pow = 66^2 \mod 645 = 486$
i = 5	$a_{5} = 0$	x = 81	$pow = 486^2 \mod 645 = 126$
i = 6	$a_6 = 0$	x = 81	$pow = 126^2 \mod 645 = 396$
i = 7	$a_7 = 1$	$x = (81 \cdot 396) \mod 645 = 471$	$pow = 396^2 \mod 645 = 81$
i = 8	$a_8 = 0$	x = 471	$pow = 81^2 \mod 645 = 111$

イロト イポト イモト イモト

To compute $3^{644} \mod 645$, we first observe that $644 = (1010000100)_2$. Initially, we have x = 1, $power = 3 \mod 645 = 3$. For brevity, we write power as pow. The iterations are performed as follows:

i = 0	$a_0 = 0$	x = 1	$pow = 3^2 \mod 645 = 9$
i = 1	$a_1 = 0$	x = 1	$pow = 9^2 \mod 645 = 81$
i = 2	$a_2 = 1$	$x = (1 \cdot 81) \mod 645 = 81$	$pow = 81^2 \mod 645 = 111$
i = 3	$a_3 = 0$	x = 81	$pow = 111^2 \mod 645 = 66$
i = 4	$a_4 = 0$	x = 81	$pow = 66^2 \mod 645 = 486$
i = 5	$a_5 = 0$	x = 81	$pow = 486^2 \mod 645 = 126$
i = 6	$a_6 = 0$	x = 81	$pow = 126^2 \mod 645 = 396$
i = 7	$a_7 = 1$	$x = (81 \cdot 396) \mod 645 = 471$	$pow = 396^2 \mod 645 = 81$
i = 8	$a_8 = 0$	x = 471	$pow = 81^2 \mod 645 = 111$
i = 9	$a_9 = 1$	$x = (111 \cdot 471) \mod 645 = 36$	$pow = 111^2 \mod 645 = 66.$

An Improvement of the Second Approach

- Although our second approach is more efficient the previous one (by means of the number of iterations), this approach has a drawback since we need to store the binary expansion of the exponent (the value n in the expression $b^n \mod m$ needs to be stored).
- Observe that if $n = (a_{k-1}a_{k-1} \dots a_1a_0)_2$, then $k = \log_2(n)$. This means the iteration in the procedure modexp2 needs at most $\log_2(n)$ iterations.

イロト 不良 トイヨト イヨト シック

By observing the conversion process of a positive integer \boldsymbol{n} to its binary form, we have following formulations

$$a_{0} = n \mod 2$$

$$a_{1} = (n \operatorname{div} 2) \mod 2$$

$$a_{2} = ((n \operatorname{div} 2) \operatorname{div} 2) \mod 2$$

$$a_{3} = (((n \operatorname{div} 2) \operatorname{div} 2) \operatorname{div} 2) \mod 2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$a_{k-1} = \underbrace{((((n \operatorname{div} 2) \cdots) \operatorname{div} 2))}_{k-1 \text{ divisions}} \mod 2$$

Notice that the div operation is performed until we reach $(((n \operatorname{div} 2) \cdots) \operatorname{div} 2)) = 0.$

Improved Algorithm for Second Problem Solving Approach

The following algorithm is written in Python-3-like syntax. The procedure modexp2(b, n, m) computes $b^n \mod m$.

Iter	ative	e Version for Modular Exponentiation (2nd Approach)
0	def	modexp2(b, n, m):
2		$x = 1$; power = $b \mod m$; quotient = n
3		while $quotient > 0$:
4		$digit = quotient \mod 2$
6		if $digit == 1$: $x = (x \cdot power) \mod m$
6		$power = (power^2) \mod m$
0		$quotient = quotient \operatorname{div} 2$
8		return x

Contents

- 1 Modular Exponentiation Problem
- 2 First Problem Solving Approach
- 3 Second Problem Solving Approach
- Third Problem Solving Approach
 - 5 Finding Inverse Using Modular Exponentiation

Third Problem Solving Approach

- We can construct an efficient recursive algorithm for computing modular exponentiation using following observation
 - if n is even, then n =

Third Problem Solving Approach

- We can construct an efficient recursive algorithm for computing modular exponentiation using following observation
 - if n is even, then $n = \frac{n}{2} \cdot 2$, and
 - if n is odd, then n-1 is even, and so n =

990

Third Problem Solving Approach

- We can construct an efficient recursive algorithm for computing modular exponentiation using following observation
 - if n is even, then $n = \frac{n}{2} \cdot 2$, and
 - if n is odd, then n-1 is even, and so $n = \left(\frac{n-1}{2} \cdot 2\right) + 1$.
- Consequently, we have the following formulation:

$$b^n = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \left(b^{n/2} \right)^2, & \text{ if } n \text{ is even} \\ \left(b^{(n-1)/2} \right)^2 \cdot b, & \text{ if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{array} \right.$$

• We can create an efficient procedure to calculate $b^n \mod m$ using this approach.

<ロ> <用> <用> < => < => < => < => <000</p>

Third Approach - Recursive Version

The following algorithm is written in Python-3-like syntax. The procedure modexp3(b, n, m) computes $b^n \mod m$.

Recu	ursive Version for Modular Exponentiation (3rd Approach)
0	def modexp3(b, n, m):
2	if $n == 0$: return 1
3	else if $n == 1$: return $b \mod m$
٩	else if $n \mod 2 == 0$:
6	return (modexp3($b, n/2, m$) ²) $\operatorname{mod} m$
0	else: return ((modexp3($b,(n-1)/2,m)^2$) $\cdot b \operatorname{mod} m$) $\operatorname{mod} m$

590

3

Contents

- 1 Modular Exponentiation Problem
- 2 First Problem Solving Approach
- 3 Second Problem Solving Approach
- 4 Third Problem Solving Approach

5 Finding Inverse Using Modular Exponentiation

• Recall that an inverse of a modulo m is the solution of the linear congruence $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. We usually find x such that $0 \le x \le m - 1$.

3

- Recall that an inverse of a modulo m is the solution of the linear congruence $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. We usually find x such that $0 \le x \le m 1$.
- The solution of $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ exists if and only if gcd(a, m) = 1.

- Recall that an inverse of a modulo m is the solution of the linear congruence $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. We usually find x such that $0 \le x \le m 1$.
- The solution of $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ exists if and only if gcd(a, m) = 1.
- One way to find x is using Euclid's algorithm, nevertheless there is another way to find such x.

- Recall that an inverse of a modulo m is the solution of the linear congruence $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. We usually find x such that $0 \le x \le m 1$.
- The solution of $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ exists if and only if gcd(a, m) = 1.
- One way to find x is using Euclid's algorithm, nevertheless there is another way to find such x.
- Here we discuss a method to find modular inverse using modular exponentiation.

Fermat's Little Theorem

Theorem

If p is a prime number and $p \nmid a$, then $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Example

Suppose we have p = 101 and a = 19. Obviously $p \nmid a$ since $101 \nmid 19$. Therefore

500

3

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Fermat's Little Theorem

Theorem

If p is a prime number and $p \nmid a$, then $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Example

Suppose we have p = 101 and a = 19. Obviously $p \nmid a$ since $101 \nmid 19$. Therefore

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
19^{101-1} &\equiv & 1 \ (\text{mod} \ 101) \\
19^{100} &\equiv & 1 \ (\text{mod} \ 101)
\end{array}$$

Sac

3

Fermat's little theorem can be exploited to find a^{-1} in \mathbb{Z}_p .

Theorem

If p is a prime number and $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$, then $a^{-1} \equiv a^{p-2} \pmod{p}$.

Proof.

Fermat's little theorem can be exploited to find a^{-1} in \mathbb{Z}_p .

Theorem

If p is a prime number and
$$a \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$$
, then $a^{-1} \equiv a^{p-2} \pmod{p}$.

Proof.

Since $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p \smallsetminus \{0\}$, then $1 \le a \le p-1$ and thus $p \nmid a$. According to Fermat's little theorem, we have

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \text{ hence}$$
$$\iota^{p-1} \cdot a^{-1} \equiv 1 \cdot a^{-1} \pmod{p}$$
$$a^{p-2} \equiv a^{-1} \pmod{p}.$$

990

3

A D > A D > A D > A D >

Suppose we want to compute 19^{-1} modulo 101, or to find x such that $19x \equiv 1 \pmod{101}$. Observe that 19 and 101 are prime numbers and $\mod(101, 19) = 1$. This means that 19 has an inverse modulo 101. Based on the previous theorem, we have

$$19^{-1} \equiv$$

Suppose we want to compute 19^{-1} modulo 101, or to find x such that $19x \equiv 1 \pmod{101}$. Observe that 19 and 101 are prime numbers and $\mod(101, 19) = 1$. This means that 19 has an inverse modulo 101. Based on the previous theorem, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
19^{-1} &\equiv& 19^{101-2} \, (\bmod \, 101) \\
&\equiv& \\
\end{array}$$

Suppose we want to compute 19^{-1} modulo 101, or to find x such that $19x \equiv 1 \pmod{101}$. Observe that 19 and 101 are prime numbers and $\mod(101, 19) = 1$. This means that 19 has an inverse modulo 101. Based on the previous theorem, we have

$$19^{-1} \equiv 19^{101-2} \pmod{101} \\ \equiv 19^{99} \pmod{101} \\ \equiv$$

Suppose we want to compute 19^{-1} modulo 101, or to find x such that $19x \equiv 1 \pmod{101}$. Observe that 19 and 101 are prime numbers and $\mod(101, 19) = 1$. This means that 19 has an inverse modulo 101. Based on the previous theorem, we have

$$19^{-1} \equiv 19^{101-2} \pmod{101}$$
$$\equiv 19^{99} \pmod{101}$$
$$\equiv 16 \pmod{101}.$$

Notice that $19 \cdot 16 \equiv 304 \pmod{101} \equiv 1 \pmod{101}$.

A D > A D > A D > A D >