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## Modular Exponentiation Problem

- In cryptography or other subfields of computer science, we often encounter the calculation $b^{n} \bmod m$ where $b, m$, and $n$ are large positive integers.
- Obviously it is impractical if we calculate the value of $b^{n}$ first, and then find the remainder of the division of $b^{n}$ by $m$.
- For example, the calculation of $3^{11} \bmod 5$ is inefficient if it is performed as follows

$$
3^{11} \bmod 5=177147 \bmod 5=2 .
$$

- Another challenge is the calculation of $1945^{2020} \bmod 2045$. Such calculation requires enormous memory (storage) if we must obtain the value of $1945^{2020}$ first, and then find its remainder when it is divided by 2045.
- In this slide we restrict our attention to the calculation of $b^{n} \bmod m$ where $b, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
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## First Problem Solving Approach

- We can compute $b^{n} \bmod m$ using the property

$$
(a \cdot b) \bmod m=((a \bmod m) \cdot(b \bmod m)) \bmod m .
$$

- As a consequence, for $n \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
b^{n} \bmod m & =\left(b \cdot b^{n-1}\right) \bmod m \\
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- We can compute $b^{n} \bmod m$ using the property

$$
(a \cdot b) \bmod m=((a \bmod m) \cdot(b \bmod m)) \bmod m .
$$

- As a consequence, for $n \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
b^{n} \bmod m & =\left(b \cdot b^{n-1}\right) \bmod m \\
& =\left((b \bmod m) \cdot\left(b^{n-1} \bmod m\right)\right) \bmod m .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Here, we see that the calculation of $b^{n} \bmod m$ can be reduced to the calculation of $b^{n-1} \bmod m$.
- In general, we have

$$
b^{n} \bmod m= \begin{cases}1, & n=0 \\ b \bmod m & n=1 \\ \left(b \bmod m \cdot b^{n-1} \bmod m\right) \bmod m & n \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

## First Approach - Recursive Version 1

The following algorithm is written in Python-3-like syntax. The procedure modexp1rec1 $(b, n, m)$ computes $b^{n} \bmod m$.

## First Recursive Version of Modular Exponentiation (1st Approach)

(1) def modexp1rec1 $(b, n, m)$ :
(2) if $n==0$ :
(3) return 1
(9) else if $n==1$ :
(5)
return $b \bmod m$
©
else if $n>1$ :
return $(b \bmod m \cdot$ modexp1rec1 $(b, n-1, m)) \bmod m$
This version is inefficient because its recursive calculation requires a lot of stack.

## First Approach - Recursive Version 2

The following algorithm is written in Python-3-like syntax. In this version accumulator is an auxiliary variable for storing the recursive calculation. The procedure modexp1rec2 $(b, n, m)$ computes $b^{n} \bmod m$.

## Second Recursive Version of Modular Exponentiation (1st Approach)

(1) def modexptail ( $b, n$, accumulator, $m$ ):
(2) if $n==0$ : return accumulator $\bmod m$

- else:
return modexptail $(b, n-1,(b \cdot$ accumulator $) \bmod m, m)$
(1) def modexp1rec2 $(b, n, m)$ : return modexptail $(b, n, 1, m)$

This version is slightly more efficient than the previous one because it uses accumulator for storing the intermediate result of recursive calculation.

## First Approach - Iterative Version

The following algorithm is written in Python-3-like syntax. This version is more efficient than two previous versions. The procedure modexp1iter $(b, n, m)$ computes $b^{n} \bmod m$.

## Iterative Version of Modular Exponentiation (1st Approach)

(1) def modexp1iter $(b, n, m)$ :
(2) if $n==0$ :
(3) return 1
(4) else:
(5) result $=b$; exponent $=1$
(0) while (exponent $<n$ ):
(1) result $=($ result $\cdot b) \bmod m$
(8)
exponent $+=1$
(9) return result

This version is more efficient than two previous version, but still takes too much time to compute $1945^{20202020} \bmod 2045$.
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## Second Problem Solving Approach

We can find $b^{n} \bmod m$ efficiently using following steps.
(1) First, write $n$ in its binary representation, let's say the binary representation of $n$ is $\left(a_{k-1} a_{k-2} \ldots a_{1} a_{0}\right)_{2}$. Observe that

$$
n=a_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-1}+a_{k-2} \cdot 2^{k-2}+\cdots+a_{1} \cdot 2+a_{0} .
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(2) As a consequence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
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(3) Since the value of $a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k-2}, a_{k-1}$ are either 0 or 1 , then it is sufficient to compute the following values

$$
b, b^{2}, b^{2^{2}}, \ldots, b^{2^{k-2}}, b^{2^{k-1}}
$$
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## A Working Example for Second Problem Solving Approach

If we compute $3^{11} \bmod 5$, first observe that $11=(1011)_{2}$, thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
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## A Working Example for Second Problem Solving Approach

If we compute $3^{11} \bmod 5$, first observe that $11=(1011)_{2}$, thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
3^{11} & =3^{2^{3}+2^{1}+2^{0}}=3^{8} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 3, \text { so } \\
3^{11} \bmod 5 & =\left(3^{8} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 3\right) \bmod 5
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $(a b) \bmod m=((a \bmod m)(b \bmod m)) \bmod m$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
3^{2} \bmod 5 & =9 \bmod 5=4 \\
3^{4} \bmod 5 & =\left(3^{2} \cdot 3^{2}\right) \bmod 5=(9 \cdot 9) \bmod 5=(4 \cdot 4) \bmod 5=1 \\
3^{8} \bmod 5 & =\left(3^{4} \cdot 3^{4}\right) \bmod 5=(1 \cdot 1) \bmod 5=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$
3^{11} \bmod 5=
$$

## A Working Example for Second Problem Solving Approach

If we compute $3^{11} \bmod 5$, first observe that $11=(1011)_{2}$, thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
3^{11} & =3^{2^{3}+2^{1}+2^{0}}=3^{8} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 3, \text { so } \\
3^{11} \bmod 5 & =\left(3^{8} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 3\right) \bmod 5
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $(a b) \bmod m=((a \bmod m)(b \bmod m)) \bmod m$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
3^{2} \bmod 5 & =9 \bmod 5=4 \\
3^{4} \bmod 5 & =\left(3^{2} \cdot 3^{2}\right) \bmod 5=(9 \cdot 9) \bmod 5=(4 \cdot 4) \bmod 5=1 \\
3^{8} \bmod 5 & =\left(3^{4} \cdot 3^{4}\right) \bmod 5=(1 \cdot 1) \bmod 5=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$
3^{11} \bmod 5=\left(3^{8} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 3\right) \bmod 5=(1 \cdot 4 \cdot 3) \bmod 5=2
$$

## Algorithm for Second Problem Solving Approach

## Modular Exponentiation Using Binary Representation

$\operatorname{modexp} 2(b, n, m)\left(\right.$ where $\left.b, n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, n=\left(a_{k-1} a_{k-1} \ldots a_{1} a_{0}\right)_{2}\right)$
(1) $x:=1$
(2) power $:=b \bmod m$
(3) for $i:=0$ to $k-1$
(9) if $a_{i}=1$ then $x:=(x \cdot$ power $) \bmod m$
(5) power $:=\left(\right.$ power $\left.^{2}\right) \bmod m$
(6) return $x$

## Exponentiation Using Binary Representation: Working Example

To compute $3^{644} \bmod 645$, we first observe that $644=(1010000100)_{2}$. Initially, we have $x=1$, power $=3 \bmod 645=3$. For brevity, we write power as pow.
The iterations are performed as follows:

$$
i=0\left|a_{0}=0\right| x=1 \quad \mid \text { pow }=3^{2} \bmod 645=9
$$
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## Exponentiation Using Binary Representation: Working Example

To compute $3^{644} \bmod 645$, we first observe that $644=(1010000100)_{2}$. Initially, we have $x=1$, power $=3 \bmod 645=3$. For brevity, we write power as pow.
The iterations are performed as follows:

| $i=0$ | $a_{0}=0$ | $x=1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $i=1$ | $a_{1}=0$ | $x=1$ |
| $i=2$ | $a_{2}=1$ | $x=(1 \cdot 81) \bmod 645=81$ |
| $i=3$ | $a_{3}=0$ | $x=81$ |
| $i=4$ | $a_{4}=0$ | $x=81$ |
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## Exponentiation Using Binary Representation: Working Example

To compute $3^{644} \bmod 645$, we first observe that $644=(1010000100)_{2}$. Initially, we have $x=1$, power $=3 \bmod 645=3$. For brevity, we write power as pow.
The iterations are performed as follows:

| $i=0$ | $a_{0}=0$ | $x=1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $i=1$ | $a_{1}=0$ | $x=1$ |
| $i=2$ | $a_{2}=1$ | $x=(1 \cdot 81) \bmod 645=81$ |
| $i=3$ | $a_{3}=0$ | $x=81$ |
| $i=4$ | $a_{4}=0$ | $x=81$ |
| $i=5$ | $a_{5}=0$ | $x=81$ |
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## Exponentiation Using Binary Representation: Working Example

To compute $3^{644} \bmod 645$, we first observe that $644=(1010000100)_{2}$. Initially, we have $x=1$, power $=3 \bmod 645=3$. For brevity, we write power as pow.
The iterations are performed as follows:

| $i=0$ | $a_{0}=0$ | $x=1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $i=1$ | $a_{1}=0$ | $x=1$ |
| $i=2$ | $a_{2}=1$ | $x=(1 \cdot 81) \bmod 645=81$ |
| $i=3$ | $a_{3}=0$ | $x=81$ |
| $i=4$ | $a_{4}=0$ | $x=81$ |
| $i=5$ | $a_{5}=0$ | $x=81$ |
| $i=6$ | $a_{6}=0$ | $x=81$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { pow }=3^{2} \bmod 645=9 \\
& \text { pow }=9^{2} \bmod 645=81 \\
& \text { pow }=81^{2} \bmod 645=111 \\
& \text { pow }=111^{2} \bmod 645=66 \\
& \text { pow }=66^{2} \bmod 645=486 \\
& \text { pow }=486^{2} \bmod 645=126 \\
& \text { pow }=126^{2} \bmod 645=396
\end{aligned}
$$

## Exponentiation Using Binary Representation: Working Example

To compute $3^{644} \bmod 645$, we first observe that $644=(1010000100)_{2}$. Initially, we have $x=1$, power $=3 \bmod 645=3$. For brevity, we write power as pow.
The iterations are performed as follows:

| $i=0$ | $a_{0}=0$ | $x=1$ | pow $=3^{2} \bmod 645=9$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $i=1$ | $a_{1}=0$ | $x=1$ | pow $=9^{2} \bmod 645=81$ |
| $i=2$ | $a_{2}=1$ | $x=(1 \cdot 81) \bmod 645=81$ | pow $=81^{2} \bmod 645=111$ |
| $i=3$ | $a_{3}=0$ | $x=81$ | pow $=111^{2} \bmod 645=66$ |
| $i=4$ | $a_{4}=0$ | $x=81$ | pow $=66^{2} \bmod 645=486$ |
| $i=5$ | $a_{5}=0$ | $x=81$ | pow $=486^{2} \bmod 645=126$ |
| $i=6$ | $a_{6}=0$ | $x=81$ | pow $=126^{2} \bmod 645=396$ |
| $i=7$ | $a_{7}=1$ | $x=(81 \cdot 396) \bmod 645=471$ | pow $=396^{2} \bmod 645=81$ |

## Exponentiation Using Binary Representation: Working Example

To compute $3^{644} \bmod 645$, we first observe that $644=(1010000100)_{2}$. Initially, we have $x=1$, power $=3 \bmod 645=3$. For brevity, we write power as pow.
The iterations are performed as follows:

| $i=0$ | $a_{0}=0$ | $x=1$ | pow $=3^{2} \bmod 645=9$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $i=1$ | $a_{1}=0$ | $x=1$ | pow $=9^{2} \bmod 645=81$ |
| $i=2$ | $a_{2}=1$ | $x=(1 \cdot 81) \bmod 645=81$ | pow $=81^{2} \bmod 645=111$ |
| $i=3$ | $a_{3}=0$ | $x=81$ | pow $=111^{2} \bmod 645=66$ |
| $i=4$ | $a_{4}=0$ | $x=81$ | pow $=66^{2} \bmod 645=486$ |
| $i=5$ | $a_{5}=0$ | $x=81$ | pow $=486^{2} \bmod 645=126$ |
| $i=6$ | $a_{6}=0$ | $x=81$ | pow $=126^{2} \bmod 645=396$ |
| $i=7$ | $a_{7}=1$ | $x=(81 \cdot 396) \bmod 645=471$ | pow $=396^{2} \bmod 645=81$ |
| $i=8$ | $a_{8}=0$ | $x=471$ | pow $=81^{2} \bmod 645=111$ |

## Exponentiation Using Binary Representation: Working Example

To compute $3^{644} \bmod 645$, we first observe that $644=(1010000100)_{2}$. Initially, we have $x=1$, power $=3 \bmod 645=3$. For brevity, we write power as pow.
The iterations are performed as follows:

| $i=0$ | $a_{0}=0$ | $x=1$ | pow $=3^{2} \bmod 645=9$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $i=1$ | $a_{1}=0$ | $x=1$ | pow $=9^{2} \bmod 645=81$ |
| $i=2$ | $a_{2}=1$ | $x=(1 \cdot 81) \bmod 645=81$ | pow $=81^{2} \bmod 645=111$ |
| $i=3$ | $a_{3}=0$ | $x=81$ | pow $=111^{2} \bmod 645=66$ |
| $i=4$ | $a_{4}=0$ | $x=81$ | pow $=66^{2} \bmod 645=486$ |
| $i=5$ | $a_{5}=0$ | $x=81$ | pow $=486^{2} \bmod 645=126$ |
| $i=6$ | $a_{6}=0$ | $x=81$ | pow $=126^{2} \bmod 645=396$ |
| $i=7$ | $a_{7}=1$ | $x=(81 \cdot 396) \bmod 645=471$ | pow $=396^{2} \bmod 645=81$ |
| $i=8$ | $a_{8}=0$ | $x=471$ | pow $=81^{2} \bmod 645=111$ |
| $i=9$ | $a_{9}=1$ | $x=(111 \cdot 471) \bmod 645=36$ | pow $=111^{2} \bmod 645=66$. |

## An Improvement of the Second Approach

- Although our second approach is more efficient the previous one (by means of the number of iterations), this approach has a drawback since we need to store the binary expansion of the exponent (the value $n$ in the expression $b^{n} \bmod m$ needs to be stored).
- Observe that if $n=\left(a_{k-1} a_{k-1} \ldots a_{1} a_{0}\right)_{2}$, then $k=\log _{2}(n)$. This means the iteration in the procedure modexp2 needs at most $\log _{2}(n)$ iterations.

By observing the conversion process of a positive integer $n$ to its binary form, we have following formulations

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{0}= & n \bmod 2 \\
a_{1}= & (n \operatorname{div} 2) \bmod 2 \\
a_{2}= & ((n \operatorname{div} 2) \operatorname{div} 2) \bmod 2 \\
a_{3}= & (((n \operatorname{div} 2) \operatorname{div} 2) \operatorname{div} 2) \bmod 2 \\
& \vdots \\
a_{k-1}= & \underbrace{((((n \operatorname{div} 2) \cdots) \operatorname{div} 2))}_{k-1 \text { divisions }} \bmod 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that the div operation is performed until we reach $((((n \operatorname{div} 2) \cdots) \operatorname{div} 2))=0$.

## Improved Algorithm for Second Problem Solving Approach

The following algorithm is written in Python-3-like syntax. The procedure modexp2 ( $b, n, m$ ) computes $b^{n} \bmod m$.

## Iterative Version for Modular Exponentiation (2nd Approach)

(1) def modexp2 $(b, n, m)$ :
(2) $\quad x=1$; power $=b \bmod m$; quotient $=n$
(3) while quotient $>0$ :
(1) digit $=$ quotient $\bmod 2$
(9) if digit $==1: \quad x=(x \cdot$ power $) \bmod m$
(1) power $=\left(\right.$ power $\left.^{2}\right) \bmod m$

- quotient = quotient div 2
( return $x$


## Contents

(1) Modular Exponentiation Problem
(2) First Problem Solving Approach
(3) Second Problem Solving Approach
(4) Third Problem Solving Approach
(5) Finding Inverse Using Modular Exponentiation

## Third Problem Solving Approach

- We can construct an efficient recursive algorithm for computing modular exponentiation using following observation
- if $n$ is even, then $n=$


## Third Problem Solving Approach

- We can construct an efficient recursive algorithm for computing modular exponentiation using following observation
- if $n$ is even, then $n=\frac{n}{2} \cdot 2$, and
- if $n$ is odd, then $n-1$ is even, and so $n=$


## Third Problem Solving Approach

- We can construct an efficient recursive algorithm for computing modular exponentiation using following observation
- if $n$ is even, then $n=\frac{n}{2} \cdot 2$, and
- if $n$ is odd, then $n-1$ is even, and so $n=\left(\frac{n-1}{2} \cdot 2\right)+1$.
- Consequently, we have the following formulation:

$$
b^{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\left(b^{n / 2}\right)^{2}, & \text { if } n \text { is even } \\
\left(b^{(n-1) / 2}\right)^{2} \cdot b, & \text { if } n \text { is odd } .
\end{array}\right.
$$

- We can create an efficient procedure to calculate $b^{n} \bmod m$ using this approach.


## Third Approach - Recursive Version

The following algorithm is written in Python-3-like syntax. The procedure modexp3 $(b, n, m)$ computes $b^{n} \bmod m$.

## Recursive Version for Modular Exponentiation (3rd Approach)

(1) def modexp3 $(b, n, m)$ :
(2) if $n==0$ : return 1
(3) else if $n==1$ : return $b \bmod m$

- else if $n \bmod 2==0$ :
(9) return $\left(\operatorname{modexp} 3(b, n / 2, m)^{2}\right) \bmod m$
- else: return $\left(\left(\operatorname{modexp} 3(b,(n-1) / 2, m)^{2}\right) \cdot b \bmod m\right) \bmod m$
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## Finding Inverse Using Modular Exponentiation

- Recall that an inverse of $a$ modulo $m$ is the solution of the linear congruence $a x \equiv 1(\bmod m)$. We usually find $x$ such that $0 \leq x \leq m-1$.
- The solution of $a x \equiv 1(\bmod m)$ exists if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}(a, m)=1$.
- One way to find $x$ is using Euclid's algorithm, nevertheless there is another way to find such $x$.
- Here we discuss a method to find modular inverse using modular exponentiation.
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## Fermat's Little Theorem

## Theorem

If $p$ is a prime number and $p \nmid a$, then $a^{p-1} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

## Example

Suppose we have $p=101$ and $a=19$. Obviously $p \nmid a$ since $101 \nmid 19$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
19^{101-1} & \equiv 1(\bmod 101) \\
19^{100} & \equiv 1(\bmod 101)
\end{aligned}
$$

Fermat's little theorem can be exploited to find $a^{-1}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$.
Theorem
If $p$ is a prime number and $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} \backslash\{0\}$, then $a^{-1} \equiv a^{p-2}(\bmod p)$.

## Proof.

Fermat's little theorem can be exploited to find $a^{-1}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$.

## Theorem

If $p$ is a prime number and $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} \backslash\{0\}$, then $a^{-1} \equiv a^{p-2}(\bmod p)$.

## Proof.

Since $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} \backslash\{0\}$, then $1 \leq a \leq p-1$ and thus $p \nmid a$. According to Fermat's little theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{p-1} & \equiv 1(\bmod p), \text { hence } \\
a^{p-1} \cdot a^{-1} & \equiv 1 \cdot a^{-1}(\bmod p) \\
a^{p-2} & \equiv a^{-1}(\bmod p) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## An Example of Inverse Calculation Using FLT

Suppose we want to compute $19^{-1}$ modulo 101 , or to find $x$ such that $19 x \equiv 1(\bmod 101)$. Observe that 19 and 101 are prime numbers and $\bmod (101,19)=1$. This means that 19 has an inverse modulo 101 . Based on the previous theorem, we have

$$
19^{-1} \equiv
$$

## An Example of Inverse Calculation Using FLT

Suppose we want to compute $19^{-1}$ modulo 101 , or to find $x$ such that $19 x \equiv 1(\bmod 101)$. Observe that 19 and 101 are prime numbers and $\bmod (101,19)=1$. This means that 19 has an inverse modulo 101 . Based on the previous theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
19^{-1} & \equiv 19^{101-2}(\bmod 101) \\
& \equiv
\end{aligned}
$$

## An Example of Inverse Calculation Using FLT

Suppose we want to compute $19^{-1}$ modulo 101 , or to find $x$ such that $19 x \equiv 1(\bmod 101)$. Observe that 19 and 101 are prime numbers and $\bmod (101,19)=1$. This means that 19 has an inverse modulo 101 . Based on the previous theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
19^{-1} & \equiv 19^{101-2}(\bmod 101) \\
& \equiv 19^{99}(\bmod 101) \\
& \equiv
\end{aligned}
$$

## An Example of Inverse Calculation Using FLT

Suppose we want to compute $19^{-1}$ modulo 101 , or to find $x$ such that $19 x \equiv 1(\bmod 101)$. Observe that 19 and 101 are prime numbers and $\bmod (101,19)=1$. This means that 19 has an inverse modulo 101 . Based on the previous theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
19^{-1} & \equiv 19^{101-2}(\bmod 101) \\
& \equiv 19^{99}(\bmod 101) \\
& \equiv 16(\bmod 101)
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that $19 \cdot 16 \equiv 304(\bmod 101) \equiv 1(\bmod 101)$.

